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Abstract
Profitalility is an indicator of the capacity of commercial banks to cope with their risk and/or capital growth, showing their competitiveness and measuring the quality of management. Credit risk is one of the significant risks of commercial banks by the nature of their activities. By effectively managing the exposure of commercial banks to credit risk, they not only support the viability and profitability of their business but also contribute to the system, stability and efficient allocation of capital to the economy. The purpose of the study was to determine whether there is a relationship between credit risk management and profitability in commercial banks in Albania. In this paper, there are four variables: ROA and ROE are the dependent variables, whereas non-performing loans (NPLs) and capital adequacy (CAR) are the independent variables. The main source of data collection are the annual reports for a 7-year period (2008-2015) by the Albanian Association of Banks. For quantitative data analysis, multiple regression model was used (SPSS).
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1. Introduction
As a critical part of financial systems, banks play an important role in contributing to the country's economic development and also the functioning of an economy (Pasiouras and Kosmidou, 2007). If the banking industry is not working well, the effect on the economy can be large and broad. Efficiency of commercial banks in managing their risk in different business cycles can lead to improved losses and crises between them (Alexandri and Santoso, 2015). Typically, Ameur and Mhiri (2013) defined risk as an exposure to a proposal for which one is uncertain. While risk management is the process of risk identification, risk analysis and assessment, risk audit and risk management to control the financial exposure of the commercial bank, based on study of Chinoda (2014). Banks are exposed to risks, while credit risk is one of the threats to the credibility of commercial banks (Panda and Hota, 2014).

The study of profitability is important not only because of the information it provides about the health of the economy every year, but also because profits are a key determinant of growth and employment in the medium term according to Ongore and Kusa (2013). Banking performance assessment is a process that requires special attention from several factors. In developing countries where our country is classified, it is very important to have good, sustainable, fast economic progress, thus increasing the benefits of all financial institutions (Suzuki and Sastrosuwito, 2014). It is imperative for every country to have the banking sector, well structured and profitable, in such a way as to be competitive and successful (Saeed, 2016). The stability of the financial system depends on the profitability of the banking sector. Profitability is an indicator of the
bank’s capacity to cope with the risk and increase its capital. Profitability is used to determine the progress of a business. Profitability determinants have been studied and researched even though the profitability definition varies between studies (Sayilgen and Yildirim, 2009).

2. Literature Review

Many studies conducted by different authors have concluded that credit risk management is the main determinant of bank profitability (Shrestha, 2017). But there are also studies that have proven the opposite. Awoke (2014) has made a study on bank profitability, where it has come to the conclusion that there is a positive relationship between profitability and liquidity in 12 European, North American and Australian banks. Meanwhile Gitau et al. (2017) have concluded, according to their study, that these two variables have negative relationship. Gizaw et al. (2015) in their empirical study reported that US banks in the 1980s had a strong positive correlation between the equity ratio and profitability but this relationship may be negative in certain situations. In another study, Abdullah et al. (2014) found the same result for commercial banks in the United Kingdom during 2000-2005. Another study conducted by Frederick (2014) examined the relationship between credit risk and liquidity risk in relation to the profitability of banks in Indonesia and revealed a negative credit risk effect and a positive effect of liquidity risk on bank profitability.

Ali et al. (2011) in their study showed that the management of credit risk had an effect on the profitability of commercial banks taken in the study. As to the two indicators taken as representatives of credit risk management, it turned out that NPL has a greater impact than the CAR in one of the ROE profit determinants. This effect is different in different commercial banks. Gul et al. (2011) concluded in their study that credit risk management has a positive impact on the profitability of commercial banks. The same conclusion was found also by Isik et al. (2016), where non-performing loans, loan loss provisions and capital adequacy have a significant impact on the profitability of commercial banks in Ethiopia. In other studies of this nature Ishak et al. (2016) reviewed the factors that affect the performance of Islamic commercial banks. It was reported that the high level of financial leverage and the high credit rate in relation to assets showed a positive correlation with profitability. Also Naceur (2003) concluded in a positive relationship between the credit risk of Swiss commercial banks and the capital rate during 1989-1995. Kosmidou (2008) study the factors that influence the profitability of commercial banks in Europe. He found a positive relationship between CAR and profitability. Previous studies also reflected a close relationship between NPL and credit risk management.

3. Empirical Analysis

In order to answer the hypothesis of the study, an econometric model has been built to identify and measure the variable that most affects the commercial banks’ profitability in Albania. Estimated multiple regression equation:

\[ y = b_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + \ldots + b_px_p \]

This is the estimated multiple regression equation, which determines the correlation between variables taken in the study (Li and Zou, 2014). Where \( b_0 \) is the constant term of the model and \( b_1, b_2 \) are the regression function coefficients. Using this model and comparing the coefficients next to each independent variable, it will be found which of the variables affects the profitability of the banks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Summary</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model</strong></td>
<td><strong>R</strong></td>
<td><strong>R Square</strong></td>
<td><strong>Adjusted R Square</strong></td>
<td><strong>Std. Error of the Estimate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.778</td>
<td>.606</td>
<td>.579</td>
<td>.26319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.815</td>
<td>.665</td>
<td>.642</td>
<td>3.08454</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the results in Table 1, the test has calculated that the Adjusted R Square is 0.579 ie 57.9% of the ROA variable explained by the model. Capital adequacy and non-performing loans account for 57.9% of changes in profitability of commercial banks measured by return on assets. Meanwhile, 42.1% is the unexplained part, which may be explained by other factors that are not included in the model. Correlation coefficient \( R = 0.778 \) means that there is a strong linear relationship between the variables ROA and independent NPL and CAR variables. While the test has calculated that the Adjusted R Square is 0.642 ie 64.2% of the ROE variable is explained by the model. That is, capital adequacy and non-performing loans explain 64.2% of changes in banks’ profitability measured by return on equity. Meanwhile, 35.8% is the unexplained part, which may be explained by other factors that are not included in the model. Correlation coefficient \( R = 0.815 \) means there is a strong linear relationship between the ROE-dependent variables and the independent NPL and CAR variables.
According to the results in Table 2, the two hypotheses are confirmed for the importance of the first model as a whole.

Ho: Independent variables, NPL and CAR do not affect the dependent variable ROA.
Ha: Independent variables, NPL and CAR affect the dependent variable ROA.

For $\alpha = 0.05$

$P = 0.000 < 0.05 \rightarrow Ho \downarrow \rightarrow Ha \uparrow$

So, the basic hypothesis falls down and alternative hypotheses are accepted. It is concluded that the model is important in its entirety, independent variables, therefore, non-performing loans and capital adequacy affect the dependent variable of return on assets. To prove the hypothesis is also found critical value through Fisher distribution.

$F_c = F_{k,n-k-1,\alpha} = F_{2,29,0.05} = 3.3277$

According to the results in Table 2, the two hypotheses are confirmed for the importance of the second model as a whole.

Ho: Independent variables, NPL and CAR do not affect the dependent variable ROE.
Ha: Independent variables, NPL and CAR affect the dependent variable ROE.

For $\alpha = 0.05$

$P = 0.000 < 0.05 \rightarrow Ho \downarrow \rightarrow Ha \uparrow$

Thus, the basic hypothesis falls down and alternative hypotheses are accepted, concluding that the model is important in its entirety, independent variables such as non-performing loans and capital adequacy affect the variable variability of return on equity. To prove the hypothesis is found critical value through Fisher distribution.

$F_c = F_{k,n-k-1,\alpha} = F_{2,29,0.05} = 3.3277$
Based on the results in Tables 3 and 4, are also presented the estimated equations (for ROA and ROE) and the interpretation of the coefficients.

First Equation Estimated:

\[ y = -3.641 - 0.018x_1 + 0.287x_2 \]

If banks keep constant capital adequacy \((x_2)\) and increase the rate of non-performing loans with a unit then return on assets will decrease to an average of 0.018 units. Thus, the growth with a credit risk unit will decrease ROA by 1.8%. If banks keep constant the problem of problem loans \((x_1)\) and increase the capital adequacy rate with a unit then return on assets will increase to an average of 0.287 ie by 28.7%. Thus, the increase with a capital adequacy unit will increase ROA by 28.7%.

Second Equation Estimated:

\[ y = -46.863 - 0.265x_1 + 3.680x_2 \]

If banks keep constant capital adequacy \((x_2)\) and raise non-performing loans with a unit \((x_1)\) then return on equity will decrease to an average of 0.265 ie by 26.5%. Thus, the growth of a credit risk unit will lead to a decline in ROE by 26.5%. If banks keep the problem of non-performing loans constant \((x_1)\) and increase the capital adequacy ratio with a unit \((x_2)\) then the return on equity will increase to an average of 3.680. Thus, the increase with a capital adequacy unit will lead to an ROE increase of 3,680 units.

4. Conclusions

Based on the above analysis, it was concluded that credit risk has a significant impact on the profitability of commercial banks by verifying the hypothesis. Some specific endings were also achieved, such as:

- The results showed that there is a negative relationship between credit risk and profitability ROA and ROE, hence there is a correlation with profitability. Credit risk remains the most important risk faced by commercial banks, so its accurate measurement and credit risk management is of crucial importance. The relationship between credit risk and profitability was expected to be negative, given that the more unprofitable loans a bank has, the more the bank’s profitability will be reduced.

- The results showed that capital adequacy has a positive relationship with two profitability variables with return on assets and return on equity. More importantly, capital adequacy has a return on equity with a higher statistical value than the return on assets. Capital adequacy ratio is one of the most important variables to measure the profitability of commercial banks, because a strong capital bank is able to pursue more efficiently and has more time and flexibility to dealing with problems arising
from unexpected losses, thus increasing profitability. Thus, from the data analyzed in this study it is noticed that capital adequacy has value for profitability.

- Just as non-performing loans and capital adequacy influenced both models, such as return on assets and return on equity. In the ROA model, two independent variables account for 57.9% of changes in profitability of commercial banks, compared to ROE, which have a higher percentage of explained greater ROA than 64.2%. Even the coefficient of correlation shows that ROE has a stronger linear relationship portfolio and performing loans and capital adequacy have both models, such as return on assets.

5. Recommendations

- Credit risk and capital adequacy are significant indicators of the profitability of commercial banks in Albania. It is recommended that focusing on improving or managing these indicators can result in increased profitability of commercial banks or their performance.

- Banks should ensure that they are constantly aware of the performance of the lending portfolio and normally of the weight they occupy in the overall portfolio in order to be ready to take appropriate measures to cope with the risk cases.
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